

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/840,819	04/24/2001	Hendrik J. Bergveld	PHN 16,698A 5322	
7590 06/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Corporate Patent Counsel			NGUYEN, SIMON	
U. S. Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY 10591			2685	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/840,819	BERGVELD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SIMON D NGUYEN	2685				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR • after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron itute, cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 July 2004.					
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 12 and 23 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	Irawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least company to the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

 Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term " further comprising a level of said signal with a desired signal level" is meaningless.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawano et al. (5,74,797).

Application/Control Number: 09/840,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claims 16 and 20, Kawano discloses a communication device (portable telephone)(abstract, fig.3), comprising: an amplifier (132) which outputs a signal having a frequency value (column 7 lines 6-30) wherein a power of the communication device is varied in dependence of the frequency value by controlling a DC/DC converter, wherein the control input value of which is exclusively controlled in dependence of the frequency value to vary an electrical supply of the amplifier (figs.3, 7, columns 8 lines 1-4, column 9 lines 1-20).

Regarding claim 12, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 16, where the communication system comprising a base station (column 8 line 2).

Regarding claims 13, 17, and 21, Kawano further discloses a memory for storing a power control data (#3 fig.1, column 5 lines 58-61).

Regarding claims 14, 18, and 22, Kawano further discloses a comparator for comparing a level of the signal with a desired signal level (column 9 lines 1-8).

Regarding claims 15, 19, and 23, Kawano further discloses the desired signal level provided by a base station (column 8 lines 1-2).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hirschfield et al. (5,826,170) discloses a communication transmitter having an amplifier (14) a DC/DC converter for varying a power supply to the amplifier (abstract, fig.2, column 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/840,819

Art Unit: 2685

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Simon Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban, can be reached on (571) 272-7899.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

600 Dulany, Alexandria, VA 22314

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Knox building, 501 Dulany, Alexandria, VA.

Simon Nguyen

June 18, 2005

SIMON NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER